

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 11/29/2004

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/882,368	06/15/2001	Amir Aliabadi	INFS117535	6711
20322 7	590 11/29/2004		EXAMINER	
SNELL & WILMER ONE ARIZONA CENTER			GART, MATTHEW S	
400 EAST VA			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
PHOENIX, AZ 850040001		3625		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/882,368	ALIABADI ET AL.	Ų			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	\			
	Matthew s Gart	3625	V			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address -				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communica D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ation.			
Status	•					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25 Oc	ctober 2004.					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☒ This	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	33 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-17</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-17</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>18 June 2001</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti	ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.12	?1(d).			
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152	2.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received					
	 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 					
_ , , ,						
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
						
Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summan	(PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date.						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal P	atent Application (PTO-152)				
S Patent and Trademark Office	o,		_			

DETAILED ACTION

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 10/25/2004 has been entered.

Claims 1-17 are pending in the instant application.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Musgrove et al., U.S. Patent No. 6,725,222.

Referring to claim 1. Musgrove discloses a method for collecting product data to facilitate at least one of searching, viewing and purchasing of products at a single shopping website, comprising:

Retrieving, by a host computer, product data from a plurality of websites
 (Musgrove: column 3, lines 28-30) associated with a plurality of at least one of

affiliated providers, wherein said product data is to be provided to a user (Musgrove: Fig. 2);

- Parsing said product data according to data types to determine, by said host computer, whether a first portion of said product data associated with at least one of said data types may be added to stored product data based on at least one of said data types (Musgrove: column 5, lines 14-28);
- Integrating, by said host computer, said first portion with said stored product data within a database to create integrated data (Musgrove: column 5, lines 14-28);
 and
- Providing said integrated data to a user such that said user may utilize a single shopping website to search for, compare prices and order said products
 (Musgrove: column 2, lines 32-45), wherein said products are associated with said product data from a plurality of said websites, wherein each of said websites may be associated with a different provider (Musgrove: Fig. 1).

Musgrove discloses a method of integrating a plurality of web sites into a single environment known as a "shopping portal." This shopping portal includes a Web server presenting an integrated interface displaying plural products from various merchants. The shopping portal of Musgrove further permits purchasing from plural merchants through a single on-line environment. Musgrove does not expressly disclose retrieving, by a host computer, product data from a plurality of websites associated with a plurality of at least of affiliated and non-affiliated providers. The Examiner notes, this difference

Application/Control Number: 09/882,368

Art Unit: 3625

is only found in the nonfunctional descriptive material and does not alter how the retrieving step is to be performed to achieve the utility of the invention. Thus this descriptive material will not distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art in terms of patentability, see In re Gulack, 703 F. 2d, 1381, 217 USPQ 401, 404 (Fed. Cir. 1983).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to retrieve information from any type of provider, because such provider type does not functionally relate to the steps in the method claimed.

Referring to claim 2. Musgrove further discloses a method wherein said providers comprise merchants (Musgrove: Fig. 1, "40").

Referring to claim 3. Musgrove further discloses a method wherein determining that said first portion may be entered with said stored product data comprises matching product producer names and product producer product identifiers from said first portion to product producer names and product producer product identifiers from said stored product data (Musgrove: column 5, lines 14-28).

Referring to claim 4. Musgrove further discloses a method wherein determining that said first portion may be entered with said stored product data comprises matching product SKUs from said first portion to product SKUs in said stored product data (Musgrove: column 6, line 47 to column 7, line 8).

<u>Referring to claim 5</u>. Musgrove further discloses a method wherein said providers comprise suppliers (Musgrove: Fig. 1, "40").

The Examiner notes, the type of supplier (canonical) does not contribute structurally to the claimed device since the type of supplier is not related to how the method is being performed. The type of supplier can be categorized as non-functional descriptive language and is given little patentable weight.

Referring to claim 6. Musgrove further discloses a method wherein said providers comprise merchants and suppliers (Musgrove: Fig. 1, "40").

The Examiner notes, the type of supplier does not contribute structurally to the claimed device since the type of supplier is not related to how the method is being performed. The type of supplier can be categorized as non-functional descriptive language and is given little patentable weight.

Referring to claim 7. Musgrove further discloses a method comprising indexing said stored product data and forwarding said indexed stored product data to at least one searchable database (Musgrove: column 5, lines 14-28).

Referring to claim 8. Musgrove further discloses a method wherein said at least one searchable database has at least one mirrored database (Musgrove: column 8, lines 30-53).

Referring to claim 9. Musgrove further discloses a method wherein said indexed stored product data comprises index meta-data and indexed data (Musgrove: column 5, lines 14-28).

Referring to claim 10. Musgrove further discloses a method of wherein said at least one searchable database is load balanced with at least one mirrored database (Musgrove: column 8, lines 30-53).

Referring to claim 11. Musgrove further discloses a method comprising updating at least one priced products database with said stored product data (Musgrove: column 5, lines 14-28).

Referring to claim 12. Musgrove further discloses a method comprising examining said buffer and determining that at least one entry in said buffer contains product data that may be entered with said stored product data (Musgrove: column 5, lines 14-28).

Referring to claim 13. Musgrove further discloses a method comprising categorizing said at least one entry (Musgrove: column 5, lines 14-28).

Referring to claim 14. Musgrove further discloses a computer readable medium containing at least one component for performing the method of any of claims 1-13 (Musgrove: Fig. 2).

Referring to claim 15. Musgrove further discloses a computer implemented system comprising at least operative to perform the method of any of claims 1-13 (Musgrove: Fig. 2).

Referring to claim 16. Musgrove further discloses a method comprising parsing said product data according to data type to determine, by said host computer, whether a second portion of said product data may not be added to said stored product data within said database; and adding said second portion to a buffer (Musgrove: column 8, lines 30-53, "filtering data").

Referring to claim 17. Musgrove further discloses a method wherein one of said data types includes at least one of product description, price, merchant information, manufacturing information, and image (Musgrove: column 5, lines 30-48).

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 10/25/2004 have been fully considered but they are most in view of the new grounds of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Matthew s Gart whose telephone number is 703-305-5355. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30AM to 5:00PM m-f.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wynn Coggins can be reached on 703-308-1344. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

MSG

Patent Examiner

November 23, 2004